(3)

Single Bench

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 591 /2005

PETITIONER

Kushal Prasad Dansena S/o Abhay Ram Dansena, aged about 32 years, Gram Sahayak, Gram Panchayat Anjorpali, Block Kharsiya, District Raigarh, (C.G.) at present address Village and Post Sodka, Tahsil Kharsiya, District Raigarh (C.G.).

P.R. No. 354/05 Presented by Shri A.H. Bhakta dated 27.01.05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through: The Secretary, General Administration Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).

2. The General Secretary, General Administration Department State of M.P. Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.).

3. The Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).

4. The Joint Director, Panchayat and Social Service Department Bilaspur, (C.G.).

5. The Deputy Director, Panchayat and Social Service Department Bilaspur, (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY 27.1.05 for A.G. Bilaspur

संयुक्त आयुक्त बिलासपुर (छ.ग.) बिलासपुर तहसील जोन

(4)

(2)

6. The Deputy Director, Panchayat and Social Welfare Department, Raigarh, (C.G.).

7. The Assistant Statistical office of District Audit Education Department Raigarh, (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER / ORDERS, DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS.

(24)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 591 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

09.3.2005

Shri Pritam Tiwari, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Govt. Advocate for the State on advance copy.

I.A.No.1235 of 2005 for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

The main grievance of the petitioner is that the records of the original application No.2633/1991 (Annexure P-1) filed before the M.P. State Administrative Tribunal, Jabalpur, has not been transferred to this Court till date. The present petitioner is applicant before the Tribunal. The prayer is that the records of the said original application be called or transferred from the State Administrative Tribunal, Bench Raipur, as early as possible and the matter be disposed of in accordance with law.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that since the S.A.T. has been abolished, there is no impediment in transferring the matter to this Court as the matters are to be transferred in a routine manner.

State counsel does not oppose this limited prayer.

The prayer is allowed.

Let the records of O.A.No.2633/1991 be transferred to the High Court from S.A.T., Raipur.

The petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
SUNIL KUMAR SINHA
Judge

14.3.05 24.3.05 Roo1